

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/087,633	YANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley	1651	

**All Participants:**

(1) Susan Hanley.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Barth.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 August 2004

**Time:** 11:00

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*claim 7*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Attorney Barth gave his permission for a supplemental examiner's amendment to add "microorganism." after the word "said" in the last line of claim 7.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)